

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,234	11/26/2003	Kazuma Sekiya	33773M056	5686	
441	441 7590 10/24/2006			EXAMINER	
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL 1850 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 800			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		1725		
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
			1		
Office Action Summary	10/721,234 Examiner	SEKIYA, KAZUMA			
,	Samuel M. Heinrich	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		1			
Period for Reply	·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (I.136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (ate, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
_	is action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	•	-			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 7 and 12 is/are pending in the appli	cation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November 2003</u> is		- ·			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		- •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		iummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Ir	formal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:	_·			
	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20061020			

Application/Control Number: 10/721,234

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of USPN 6,846,696 to Adachi et al and in view of US20050153101A1 to Yamaguchi et al and US20040055223A1 to Ono et al. AAPA describes (Specification Description of the Prior Art) well known cutting by shining a laser beam which solves peeling-off problem and burr problem. Adachi et al describe (column 3, lines 8-11) use of absorbing mechanism for reducing foreign bodies of fine particles due to laser working. Yamaguchi et al and Ono et al both describe laser machining with a water soluble resin film and removal thereof by washing. The instant claimed methods of laser machining would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because use of an absorbing mechanism decreases material scatter.

Claims 7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of USPN 6,846,696 to Adachi et al and in view of US20050153101A1 to Yamaguchi et al and US20040055223A1 to Ono et al and further in view of JP355105334A and JP363293970A. See 103(a) rejection above. Further, both JP355105334A and JP363293970A describe laser trimming wherein the

laser is transmitted to the work through a film. The transmitting of laser energy through a layer is well known as described in both JP355105334A and JP363293970A and the application of laser energy through a film and controlling debris with the film would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because it reduces subsequent particle removal processes.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Samuel M Heinrich **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1725